

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/752,410	01/07/2004	Eldad Taub	25447A	3847
7590 09/08/2004			EXAMINER	
Gary M. Nath			WILSON, JOHN J	
NATH & ASSC	CIATES PLLC			
6th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1030 15th Street, N.W.			3732	
Washington, DC 20005			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/752,410	TAUB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John J. Wilson	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 July 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 8-33 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>8-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/536,934.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/9/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
1 aper 140(5)/(4)aii Date <u>3/3/04</u> .	0) [_] Olliet					

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's cancellation of all claims except claims 18-33 in the amendment filed July 21, 2004, renders the requirement moot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vielfaure (French 2656215). Vielfaure shows an image acquisition element 2, 7 and display 3. The showing of these elements inherently implies a grabber which functions to capture and transmit the image. All of the claimed structure being shown, the intended use with an orthodontic element and a surface in given no patentable weight. Vielfaure shows providing guidance with display markings 121' which inherently implies a module that functions to provide guidance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,410

Art Unit: 3732

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diamond (4850864). Diamond shows a method of using a processor in the form of a computer, Figs. 16 and 17, to determine the proper position of an orthodontic element that includes displaying an orthodontic element, column 11, lines 46-50. Capturing images with an image acquisition unit is well known an would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The image, such as shown in Fig. 19 of Diamond that include a string of teeth, as taught by the citation above, together with the wire as taught comprises guidance information.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 18-28 and 30-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,334,772.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because to not include the steps of positioning and fixing the element on the tooth is an obvious matter of choice in not using all steps to one of ordinary skill in the art. To call the indicator a

Application/Control Number: 10/752,410 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

target sign is an obvious matter of choice in terminology to the skilled artisan. The system claimed is obvious in view of the method steps of the patent.

Claim 29 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,334,772 in view of Diamond (4850864). The claims of the 772 patent show the method, however, does not show the processor. Diamond shows using a processor 352 in determining bracket placement, column 11, lines 11-20. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the claims of the 772' patent to include the use of a processor as shown by Diamond in order to better position the bracket.

Claims 18-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,695,613. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because to not include the steps of positioning and fixing the element on the tooth is an obvious matter of choice in not using all steps to one of ordinary skill in the art. To call the indicator a target sign is an obvious matter of choice in terminology to the skilled artisan. The system claimed is obvious in view of the method steps of the patent.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18-28 stand rejected under double patenting only.

Art Unit: 3732

Drawings

The drawings filed January 7, 2004 are found to be acceptable by the examiner.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file in parent application 09/536,934.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Wilson at telephone number (703) 308-2699.

> John Willi John J. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

iiw

September 3, 2004 Fax (703) 308-2708

Work Schedule: Monday through Friday, Flex Time